## Approved For Release 2000/04/14: CIA-RDP75



FOIAb3b

SION OF REMARKS

STEVEN B. DEROUNIAN COLUMN TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES wanisday, May 2, 1963

DEROUNIAN. Mr. Speaker, whether or not the U.S. Intelligence ency is to blame for our supposed lack information is not quite clear. I have the feeling, however, that it has been the feeling, however, that it has been that the house information it has passed along has not reclaim to the President Kennedy has replaced an outstanding scientist, Dr. James R. Killer, as chairman of the Foreign Intelligence Advisory Roard with Clark

telligence Advisory Board, with Clark Clifford, who has been high in Democourse he has a right to do, but I am concering whether or not this type of pointment is objective enough to corwhatever deficiencies there might be

such an important agency as CIA.

P. last Monday's New York Times an
cellent editorial points to this "politiappointment. The editorial follows:

From the New York Times, Apr. 29, 1963]

COURT INTELLIGENCE

Are U.S. intelligence estimates being cold or distorted by policy?

the differences between President Kennedy whe differences between President Kennedy in Senator Keating about how many Soviet recobs are in Cuba could reflect a serious could reside the Nation maintains for collecting and evaluating the data on which policy must be built.

The problem is not new to this administration, nor did it originate with the Cuban resis. But when intelligence becomes as it

on, nor aid it originate with the Cuban rists. But when intelligence becomes, as it as become today, the very cornerstone of oily, an almost superhuman objectivity is officed on the part of our intelligence chiefs avoid estimates and evaluations tailored policy. Intelligence, if it is to be worth hything, must be completely nonpartisan.

A Senate subcommittee which has been quietly investigating the cuban crisis, has quietly investigating the Cuban crisis, has already found considerable villence that the intelligence estimates of last summer and fall were keyed to the "it can't happen here" atmosphere, then prevalent in Washington. The belief of all the Nation's top Soviet experts that Premier Khrushchev would never risk installing Russian missiles in Cuba appears to have influenced most of the intelligence judgments that reached the President in that period. The awakening led to a concentration on missiles only, that caused us to downgrade the significance of the small Soviet force that had been sent to Cuba.

Today the basic differences between the President and his critics concern the size of President and his critics concern the size of this force, and whether it is being reduced. Heat Mr. Reduced and Schaior Kearing are testing their information from the same testing their information from the partisan issue; it is not easy for the top-level estimates that reach the President to remain completely objective. The men who provide these judgments are appointed to the President Yown administration. Given the present centralization of intelligence activities, it is especially hard for minority views, which might hapten to be right, to reach the top. This problem will not be helped by the appointment of Clark M. Clifford to replace Dr. James M. Killian, challman of the board of the control of the Massachusetts Institute of the control of the Massachusetts Institute of the control of the Massachusetts institute of the control of the Reover Commission in 1956 to moni-

of the Hoover Commission in 1956 to monitor continuously CIA and other intelligence activities.

Mr. Clifford has a brilliant mind, but, as a long-time troubleshooter for the Democratic Party, he is inextricably associated with partisan politics. He replaces a skilled and objective scientist-administrator. The selection is at best unfortunate. It is bound to give the impression that our intelligence activities will now be monitored, not by a chairman who is an expert in the field, but by one who is essentially a politician.